

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignata 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09.841,593	04/25/2001	Akio Koro	206585US3X	4757	
22350	7590 06/03/2003				
	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDRI	STREET IA, VA 22314			SORKIN, DAVID L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1723	•//	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		· \$/4 //			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/841,593	KORO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David L. Sorkin	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
• •	V 10 00T TO EVDIDE 3	MONTU(C) FOOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22</u>	<u>May 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL .	his action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Place a sixty of Olding. Place a sixty of Olding.			ne merits is			
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio	n					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
	awii itoin consideration.					
5)⊡ Claim(s) <u>4-10 and 14-20</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
Application Papers	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2001 is/are: a		ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in	Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Box	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			il application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/841,593

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 April 2003 has been entered.

Drawings

- 2. As explained in the Advisory Action (paper No. 8) mailed 22 May 2003, the drawing changes discussed by applicant in paper No. 7 have not been received. The transmittal sheet makes no mention of any drawing related documents.
- 3. The specification has been amended to add reference signs "4A" and 4B". The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include reference signs "4A" and "4B". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. In the amended version of the paragraph which originally was page 12, lines 10-23, "the leading end of the first shorter blade 14" should read - - the trailing end of the first shorter blade 14 - - and "second longer blade 12" should read - - first longer blade 12 - - to be consistent with the remainder of the specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/841,593 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-3 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1 and 11 have been amended to include the phrase "extends from an edge of". As applicant explains in paper No. 7, "Claims 1 and 11 [as amended] recite that a portion of the blade is present at the end point of the rotor". It is considered that there is no support in the originally filed specification for such a requirement. As seen in Fig. 1, no blade is present at any end point of any rotor. Figs. 2A and 2B merely diagram the portions of the rotors which have blades, while the full structure of the rotors is shown in Fig. 1.

Prior Art

7. None of the claims has been rejected under section 102 or 103. While it is agreed that the subject matter of claims 1-3 and 11-13 is not disclosed or rendered obvious by the prior art, the subject matter of these claims is not considered to be supported by the originally filed disclosure, as discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 09/841,593 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-10 and 14-20 are allowed. The closed prior art is Lohmann (US 1,406,666); however, Lohmann fails to disclose the shorter, linear blade having the claimed relationship to the first longer blade, in combination with the remaining limitations of independent claims 4 and 14.

Response to Arguments

9. While, the examiner agrees that Lohmann ('666) does not disclose a longer linear blade being present at an end point of a rotor, it is considered that such a limitation is not supported by the originally filed disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 703-308-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/841,593

Art Unit: 1723

David Sorkin

June 2, 2003

Page 5